

THE 4% RULE PRODUCES UNACCEPTABLE RISK FOR AMERICA'S RETIREES



Matthew Oaks
Investment Advisor Representative
Financial Security Management, Inc.

972 Youngstown Kingsville Rd. SE, Vienna, OH 44473
matt@fsmagency.com | 330-539-1700
<https://fsmagency.com/>

Americans entering retirement face a considerable level of risk from the triple threat of longevity, market volatility, and long-term care.

By Colin Devine and Ken Mungan

For decades, some financial professionals have counseled clients that they should be able to safely withdraw four percent of their assets each year as a means of providing retirement income, while maintaining an account balance large enough to keep income flowing through the years.

However, while some of the underlying thinking behind the so-called four percent rule was prudent, it was hatched in an era in which interest rates were much higher, capital markets less volatile, and most importantly, Americans had shorter lifespans.

Given today's market volatility and changed retirement landscape, it's safe to assume that the four percent rule may be obsolete. To validate this assumption, we set out to determine whether this rule was sufficient to compensate for the many financial risks that retiring Baby Boomers and subsequent generations will carry with them into later life.

The answer came back: a resounding **no**.

New research and modeling developed in partnership by our respective firms, reveals that this longstanding rule of thumb puts retirees at significant risk of running out of income should they achieve even an average lifespan—a national dilemma given that a record 4.5 million Americans will turn age 65 in 2024.¹

The analysis centered on the variables that most affect people's income in retirement: how long they are expected to live, how

healthy they are going to be, equity market volatility and the rate of inflation. Here's what we found based on today's numbers:

Longer life spans make for longer retirements. The average life expectancy for a 65-year-old male is an additional 19 years and an additional 22 years for a female of the same age. Combined, there is:

- A 50% probability that one member of a male-female couple age 65 will survive 27 years or until around age 92
- A 25% chance of one person reaching age 97
- About a 5% chance of them living to age 100

In addition, a third of all 65-year-olds today will live past age 90, with about one in seven living beyond age 95. Making this even more challenging is an average standard deviation of around 10 years for both male and female life expectancy. Given these figures, coupled with the tendency of most individuals to believe they can "beat the average", a reasonable retirement planning horizon might be in the 30-35-year range, or to age 95-100.

One in two retirees can expect a remainder-of-life health impairment. There is a roughly 50% chance of a 65-year old adult having some level of physical or cognitive impairment over the remainder of their life.² The fact that many insurers no longer sell traditional morbidity-based, long-term care policies is evidence that older-age health needs are viewed as a largely uninsurable risk.

Even modest inflation rates can significantly affect a retiree's purchasing power.

A 2% annual inflation rate necessitates doubling of an individual's retirement income over 35 years to maintain purchasing power. By comparison, a 3% inflation rate would shrink this to 23.5 years and require income to nearly triple during a 35-year retirement—or by age 100 for a person that retired at age 65. Even a 1% rate would necessitate a 41% increase in retirement income over 35 years.

Volatile equity markets can sap a retirement portfolio of its long-term ability to produce income. While equity markets have generally risen, there have been multiple periods of volatility. A significant equity market correction—defined as a decline of 20%—within the first ten years of retirement increases the risk of running out of income during their remaining lifetime, something economists call sequence risk. The key question is whether that increased risk is acceptable for a typical American retiree.

The analysis determines that even for an individual utilizing both a conservative 60:40 equity to fixed income investment mix, along with a moderate 4% withdrawal rate indexed for inflation, there is a significant risk of running out of income should they endure an equity market correction during their first decade in retirement while achieving even an average life expectancy.

Those that experience a 20% equity market decline sometime within the first 10 years of retirement—a plausible outcome given the crash coinciding with the financial crisis of 2008–2009, as well as the December 2018 and current COVID-19 selloffs—are particularly vulnerable to running out of income. Our analysis found that the risk of running out of income under this reasonable scenario was:

Annuities are long-term investments designed for retirement purposes. The value of variable annuities is subject to market risk and will fluctuate. Product guarantees are subject to the claims-paying ability of the issuing insurance company.

Earnings, when withdrawn, are subject to federal and/or state income tax, including a 10% tax penalty for withdrawals before age 59½.

Some income guarantees offered with annuities take the form of optional riders and carry charges in addition to the fees and charges associated with annuity products.

There is no guarantee that any investment will achieve its objectives, generate positive returns, or avoid losses. Investments in annuity contracts may not be suitable for all investors.

- 11.0% during the first 19 years (average life expectancy for a male aged 65);
- 20.0% over the first 22 years (average life expectancy for a female aged 65); and
- 34.6% within the first 27 years (combined average life expectancy for one member of a male/female couple both age 65).

What this means is that many Americans entering retirement face a considerable—some would say unacceptable—level of financial risk from the trifecta of longevity, equity market volatility and the uncertainty of older age health costs and long-term care.

Given that pensions have virtually disappeared, leaving millions without a source of protected lifetime income, the good news is that other financial products that can fill the gap already exists. While many of today's investment products—such as target date funds, mutual funds, and ETFs—are often used to generate retirement income, the fact is that annuities are the only financial product that was designed for and specifically provide protected lifetime income.

This study clearly demonstrates that the four percent rule is no longer a safe or effective way for retirees to plan for retirement income, not remotely. The variables that most affect people's retirement income have each changed significantly. For many Americans looking for a new strategy that accounts for these changes, protected lifetime income from annuities is a compelling choice.

Investment advisory and financial planning services offered through Simplicity Wealth, LLC, an SEC Registered Investment Advisor. Subadvisory services offered through Advisory Alpha, LLC, an SEC Registered Investment Advisor. Insurance, consulting, and education services are offered through Financial Security Management. Financial Security Management is a separate and unaffiliated entity from Simplicity Wealth and Advisory Alpha.